

Article:

Study of Crumb Tire Rubber in Asphalt Concrete Mixtures

Conference:

Second International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control
July 29-August 01, 2001, Auburn, Alabama, USA.

Authors:


Leto Momm; Antônio Fortunato Marcon; Reus Salini

Cite

Copy and paste a formatted citation or import into a bibliography manager.

- MLA Momm, L., A. F. Marcon, and R. Salini. "Study of Crumb Tire Rubber in Asphalt Concrete Mixtures." *Second International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control*. No. 01-029. 2001.
- APA Momm, L., Marcon, A. F., & Salini, R. (2001). Study of Crumb Tire Rubber in Asphalt Concrete Mixtures. In *Second International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control* (No. 01-029).
- Chicago Momm, L., A. F. Marcon, and R. Salini. "Study of Crumb Tire Rubber in Asphalt Concrete Mixtures." In *Second International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control*, no. 01-029. 2001.
- Harvard Momm, L., Marcon, A.F. and Salini, R., 2001. Study of Crumb Tire Rubber in Asphalt Concrete Mixtures. In *Second International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control* (No. 01-029).
- Vancouver Momm L, Marcon AF, Salini R. Study of Crumb Tire Rubber in Asphalt Concrete Mixtures. In *Second International Symposium on Maintenance and Rehabilitation of Pavements and Technological Control*. 2001 (No. 01-029).

Import citation to:



Right-click the paperclip and select [save embedded file as](#)
or check the [Attached Files tab](#) in your PDF reader software.

**SECOND INTERNATIONAL SYMPOSIUM ON
MAINTENANCE AND REHABILITATION OF
PAVEMENTS AND TECHNOLOGICAL CONTROL
JULY 29 – AUGUST 1, 2001
AUBURN, ALABAMA, USA**

**STUDY OF CRUMB TIRE RUBBER IN ASPHALT CONCRETE
MIXTURES**

Leto Momm

Professor of Civil Engineering

Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina - Departamento de Engenharia Civil

Trindade - CEP 88 040-900 Florianópolis - Santa Catarina, Brasil

ecv1lmm@ecv.ufsc.br

Antônio Fortunato Marcon

Professor of Civil Engineering

Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina - Departamento de Engenharia Civil

Trindade - CEP 88 040-900 Florianópolis - Santa Catarina, Brasil

afmarcon@der.sc.gov.br

Reus Salini

Civil Engineer

Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina - Departamento de Engenharia Civil

Trindade - CEP 88 040-900 Florianópolis - Santa Catarina, Brasil

salini@gmx.net

Paper 01-029

ABSTRACT

The objective of this work is to characterize the structural and mechanical behavior of asphalt mixtures, when some recycled rubber is added. The basic asphalt mixture is the one commonly used in asphalt pavement and the recycled rubber comes from used tires. The research methodology used make possible to optimize the rubber addition so that the structural behavior of resulting asphalts mixture is improved when compared to the basic mixture without rubber. The study investigates the effects of the addition of crumb tire rubber in asphalt concrete mixtures. The process of incorporation of rubber is similar to the aggregate-rubber process (dry), but seeking the asphalt-rubber process (wet). The study optimizes the asphalt binder and rubber contents with the aid of the Marshall method. The mixture performance is evaluated with the indirect tensile strength, resilient modulus and fatigue tests on Marshall samples. The pavement design simulation with the experimental mixture shows advantages compared to the conventional mixture.

KEY WORDS:

Pavement, asphalt mix, asphalt concrete, recycling, tire rubber.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the “dry” process of producing asphalt-rubber concrete mixtures, the crumb rubber is inserted in the mixture as an aggregate portion. In the “wet” process, the crumb rubber is added first to asphalt cement and then is incorporated to the mixture.

In the dry process, the crumb rubber is inserted directly into the mix. It thus causes compaction difficulty and leads to loss of one-third of the dynamic modulus in relation to the same mix without crumb rubber (1).

In the wet process, the crumb rubber is previously mixed into the asphalt cement in appropriate equipment. It interacts with the rubber, thus improving the performance of the asphalt concrete similar to polymer modification: i.e. the improvement of aging resistance, the improvement of mixture elasticity (2); (3) and the use of reduced thickness (4)

The asphalt cement modification process occurs during an interval of time between a half-hour to one hour and half. This delay can be accomplished in the wet process. The same is not possible in the dry process.

Crumb rubber is not inert and it undergoes change during the time in which it remains in contact with the asphalt cement. This process of modification occurs naturally during the time in which the mixture is transported to the field in a truck until the temperature is reduced. In the laboratory, it is possible to simulate this time delay, thus maintaining the mixture in an oven 10°C below the mix temperature.

With studied mixtures, containing 5.2% of asphalt cement of 60/70 penetration, it is noted that the modification time in the dry process is around 60 minutes, evaluating with the void contents, the compression resistance and the conserved resistance after immersion.

The use of crumb rubber apart from preventing the tires to become a source of pollution it improves the pavement life span. Such improvement is due to the antioxidants and inhibitors of ultraviolet radiation effects. The rubber contents prolong the asphalt cement life span and reduce the temperature variation sensitivity (2); (3); (5); (6); (7); (8); (9).

The objective of this study is to evaluate the structural behavior of the asphalt concrete mixed with tires crumb rubber. The crumb rubber is incorporated into the mixture with dry process but pursuing the structural improvements obtained with the wet process by keeping the mixture in an oven.

The study in laboratory sought to find the crumb rubber content that leads to the best asphalt concrete performance.

The complete study is developed in the laboratory, adopting the traditional procedure usually applied to manufacture the asphalt concrete specimen following the MARSHALL method with incorporation of different contents of crumb rubber.

After getting the mixes, the materials are put in an oven during one hour under 160°C with the purpose of simulating the time taken to transport of the mixture from the plant to the field. The experimental mixtures (with crumb rubber) are prepared with different rubber proportions and different asphalt proportions and afterwards compared to the reference mix (without rubber). The same aggregate gradation and the same asphalt cement are used for the sake of allowing such comparisons.

The assessment is based in the MARSHALL parameters, the indirect tensile stress, the fatigue life and the resilient modulus.

2. THE MATERIALS

2.1. The aggregates

Crushed granite is used as the mineral aggregate of the mixture, obtained in the region of Florianópolis City (Santa Catarina State). The adhesion of the asphalt to the aggregate has been good.

The granular composition adopted in the investigation is prepared according to the specification standards of the Santa Catarina Road Department (DER-SC) (figure 1).




Figure 1. Aggregate gradation.

2.2. Asphalt cement

The asphalt cement used is the CAP 20 (viscosity classification) from the Araucária refinery situated in the Paraná State, with softening point at 48°C and the Saybolt-Furol viscosity 180 s (at 135°C).

2.3. Crumb rubber

The crumb rubber is get from commercial tire *decoupage*, with a maximum size particle of 2.4 mm and with 70% retained in the sieve of 0.297 mm.

3. LABORATORY PROCEDURES

3.1. Reference mixture

The reference mixture is prepared according to the MARSHALL method with the following asphalt cement contents: 4.0%, 4.5%, 5.0%, 5.5% and 6.0%.

The optimum asphalt cement mix is getting from analysing the MARSHALL method results, with which new specimens are manufactured to submit to the fatigue and resilience modulus tests. This conventional mixture is named “reference mixture”.

3.2. Experimental mixtures

The experimental mixtures are made with three different amounts of rubber and different asphalt cement contents (table 1). The asphalt cement contents are related to the total weight of the mixture and the rubber contents are related to the aggregate weight.

The specimens for the fatigue and resilient modulus is manufactured by using the results of the MARSHALL method and the indirect tensile stress, named experimental mixture (It is used a minimum of three specimen for each test).

Table 1 – Experimental Mixtures

Experimental mixtures	Rubber contents (%)	Asphalt cement contents (%)
Exp 0.7	0.7	3.97
		4.47
		4.97
		5.46
		5.96
Exp 1.1	1.1	4.65
		5.25
		5.84
Exp 0.4	0.4	4.68
		5.28
		5.88

3.3. Specimen manufactures

The MARSHALL specimens are manufactured with 1200 g of weight with 10.2 cm of diameter and with the energy of 75 falls per face.

3.4. Asphalt cement content

The asphalt cement content of the reference mixture is set to 4.8%, with the main criterion (the void content on the tendency line) being set to 5.6 %.

The 5.3 % asphalt cement and the 0.7 % rubber contents are chosen by means of the MARSHALL method and the indirect tensile test. This mixture is called “experimental optimized mixture”.

The reference and the experimental mixture are submitted to the fatigue and resilience modulus tests.

3.5. Resilience modulus

The resilience modulus is measured with the MARSHALL specimens, with time charge of 0.1 s and rest of 0.9 s, at 25°C.

3.6. Indirect tensile test

The indirect tensile test is verified on MARSHALL specimens, according to the National Highway Department – Test Method DNER-ME 138/86 at 25°C.

3.7. Fatigue

The fatigue life spans are obtained with the MARSHALL specimens, under control stress, time charge of 0.1 s and rest of 0.9 s, and the rupture criterion is the division of the specimen in two half.

4. LABORATORY RESULTS

4.1. MARSHALL test – conventional mixtures

The conventional mixture results (without rubber) are presented in figures 2, 3, 4, and 5.




Figure 2. Density – conventional mixtures




Figure 3. MARSHALL stability - conventional mixtures




Figure 4. Void content – conventional mixtures




Figure 5. Void aggregate mineral – conventional mixtures

4.2. MARSHALL tests – experimental mixtures

The MARSHALL test of the mixtures with rubber results in the following parameters to take into count in choosing the mixture to the fatigue and modulus tests (figures 6, 7, 8 and 9):




Figure 6. Density – experimental mixtures




Figure 7. MARSHALL stability – experimental mixtures




Figure 8. Void - experimental mixtures




Figure 9. Void aggregate mineral - experimental mixtures

4.3. Indirect tensile stress

The indirect tensile stress (figure 10) presents smaller values in relation to the increase of added crumb rubber in the mixture, that is, the increment of crumb rubber do not result in bigger values for the indirect tensile stress.

4.4. Resilience modulus

The resilience modulus and the fatigue tests are made: a) one conventional mixture b) one experimental mixture.

The results of the resilience modulus and the fatigue tests are shown in the tables 3 and 4.




Figure 10. Indirect tensile stress - experimental mixtures

Table 3. Resilient modulus – reference mixture

Specimens number	Charge (N)	Initial Resilience strain (cm)	Resilience modulus (MPa)	Average (MPa)
2814	5120	0.00106	4306	4314
	5997	0.00124	4322	
2819	4244	0.00106	3569	3630
	5120	0.00124	3691	
2827	5997	0.00088	6117	5563
	6873	0.00124	5008	
Average				4502

Table 4. Resilient modulus - experimental optimised mixture

Specimens number	Charge (N)	Initial Resilience strain (cm)	Resilience modulus (MPa)	Average (MPa)
2953	4244	0.0009	3893	3345
	5120	0.0014	3170	
	5997	0.0017	2970	
2967	4244	0.0011	3234	3100
	5120	0.0014	3219	
	5997	0.0018	2845	
2962	4244	0.0018	1992*	2630
	5120	0.0014	3185	
	5997	0.00195	2713	
Average				3153

(*) Eliminated.

4.5. Fatigue

The fatigue trend lines obtained with the reference mixtures and the optimised experimental mixtures are shown in the figure 11.

The crumb rubber addition produced a translation of the fatigue trend line. It results higher strain values in relation to the numbers of cycles.

The crumb rubber mixtures fatigue trend lines presented bigger exponent (fatigue trend line grading in log-log scale), showing that the lines are more spaced to larger values of cycles.




Figure 11. Fatigue – reference mixtures and experimental optimised mixtures.

5. SIMULATED DESIGN

The comparison between the reference and the experimental mixtures was made with the hypothetical simulated design through the Elsym5 software.

The simulations were made with the same materials and the same coarse thickness, with the variation of the resilience modulus and the fatigue trend line values.

The cycle numbers (N) were obtained without field shift factors (table 5 and figure 12).

Table 5. Pavement structure simulated design

Structure	Type	Tensile strain	Numbers of Cycles	Increase (%)
1 (low deep)	Reference	0.81×10^{-4}	520	
	Experimental optimized	0.96×10^{-4}	780	50
2 (medium deep)	Reference	0.62×10^{-4}	1368	
	Experimental optimized	0.75×10^{-4}	2163	58
3 (medium deep)	Reference	0.48×10^{-4}	3457	
	Experimental optimized	0.58×10^{-4}	6251	81
4 (high deep)	Reference	0.31×10^{-4}	16833	
	Experimental optimized	0.38×10^{-4}	35835	113




Figure 12. Simulated design synopsis

7. CONCLUSIONS

In the range of the experiment, the crumb rubber addition showed:

The asphalt mixture density decreases in relation to the crumb rubber incorporations.

The MARSHALL stability presented the maximum tendency to the 0.7 % crumb rubber proportion.

The void and void aggregate mineral contents increased in relation to the increase of crumb rubber contents.

The crumb rubber addition produced a decrease of the tensile stress values.

The experimental crumb rubber mixture presented smaller values of resilience modulus in relation to the reference mixture. It resembles the result observed with the virgin polymers.

The asphalt mixture with crumb rubber addition presented fatigue life span variation less sensitive in relation to the number of cycles.

With the simulated design comparison, the experimental optimised composition showed advantage in relation to the reference composition for all structures. Also, it showed a bigger advantage to the structures submitted to higher solicitation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the aid and the co-operation of the CAPES – Foundation Coordination of Improvement of Superior Level Graduation Peoples, the COOPE – Rio de Janeiro, the Foundation Mapfre, the DER/SC - Department of Highway of Santa Catarina State, the enterprises Pedrita, Engesul and Iguatemi.

REFERENCES

- (1) Medina, J. G.; Ángel del Val M.; Raz R. T. *Digestión del Caucho de Neumáticos Incorporado por Vía Seca a las Mezclas Asfálticas*. 10º Congreso Ibero-Latinamericano del Asfalto, Asociación Española de la Carretera, Sevilla, 1999, tomo III, p. 2065-2074.
- (2) Hansen R., Anderton G. *A Laboratory Evaluation of Recycled Tire Rubber in Hot-Mix Asphalt Paving Systems*. ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 1193, Philadelphia, 1993, p. 69-83.
- (3) Roberts F. *Crumb Rubber Modifier (CRM) Technologies*. Crumb Rubber Modifier Workshop, Spokane, 1993, Session 8, 8.1-8.10.
- (4) Sousa J. B. *Comparação da Vida à Fadiga e Deformação Permanente entre Misturas com Betume Modificado com Borracha Reciclada de Pneus e Convencionais*, 10º Congreso Ibero-Latinamericano del Asfalto, Asociación Española de la Carretera, Sevilla, 1999, tomo III, p. 2089-2101.
- (5) FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION. *State of Practice - Design and Construction of Asphalt Paving Materials with Crumb Rubber Modifier*. Publication N. FHWA-SA-92-022, 1992.
- (6) Amirkhanian S. N. *Utilisation of Scrap Tires in Flexible Pavements - Review of Technology*, ASTM Special Technical Publication STP 1193, Philadelphia, 1993, p. 233-250.
- (7) CALTRANS - California State Department of Transportation, “Asphalt Rubber Hot Mix-Graded Thickness Determination Guide”, March 1992.
- (8) CALTRANS - California State Department of Transportation “Rubberised Asphalt Concrete - Status Report”, February 1994.
- (9) DOTY R., *Flexible Pavement Rehabilitation using Asphalt Rubber Combinations - A Report*”, California State Department of Transportation, January 1988.